



EDITORIAL

Having completed five years of uninterrupted, rigorous scientific activities, the journal *Phenomenology, Humanities and Sciences*, along with all its collaborators – editors, advisors, reviewers, the entire editorial team, and undoubtedly all the authors who have contributed to the progress of Brazilian science through this scientific communication platform – celebrates this milestone with the publication of the first issue of its sixth edition.

The labor of producing science goes beyond the daily challenges that every researcher faces in their respective workplaces. This applies both in the most advanced technology laboratories and in libraries, study rooms, archives, or any communal space that supports the daily journey by providing its physical environment and potential. These spaces, each in its own way, become accomplices in the collective effort of knowledge creation, providing the necessary support for researchers to explore, discover, and share new ideas. However, while the tireless commitment of researchers is the indispensable foundation for scientific progress, it is essential to acknowledge that everyone who dedicates their life to science constantly faces critiques regarding the credibility of the institution of “science.”

Such external contingencies would, in themselves, be enough to pose significant difficulties for researchers. But it doesn't end there. The challenge is further catalyzed by the field's own actors, who produce a series of self-centered effects driven by market interests, enchanted by the lucrative potential of the field, and blind to the true intent of democratic knowledge: to produce knowledge accessible to all.

Moreover, what has been previously mentioned touches upon the very project, now faltered, of interdisciplinarity within science. It is recognized that George Gusdorf's invitation has never been realized. Otherwise, the perspective of breaking the boundaries that define the disciplinary fields of knowledge has become rigid and sedimented in the incautious technical professionalization of sciences. From the critical point denounced by Gusdorf, which aimed to become a locus for the renewal and development of sciences, only errors have been constructed. Contrary to expectations, what has actually emerged is the dominance of naive and market-oriented pragmatisms – noting that the term pragmatism here has nothing to do with the distinguished philosophy of William James, John Dewey, and other scholars. The analysis by the avant-garde French philosopher that true knowledge is found only in the true scientist, and furthermore, that the true scientist is one who “while deepening the intelligibility of this or that domain of knowledge, is able to situate their knowledge within the entirety of knowledge, that is, within the global horizon of human reality” (Gusdorf, 2006, p. 56)¹, has been vilified by his successors, and critical avant-gardism has been replaced by the uncritical specialism of disciplines.

Completing five years of publication, dealing daily with all these issues, makes everyone who has been, still is, and undoubtedly will become collaborators of PHS proud. As in the words of Husserl, (Hua VI: 492): “I am a philosopher of my time, of my present, in the sense that on the ground of the universally valid science of this time – into which I have grown through education and teaching – as the scientific *communis opinio*, I teach and work in the motivation of this scientific temporal situation.”²

In this first issue of 2025, we are honored to feature two significant international contributions: an article by Prof. Thiemo Breyer, who Director of the Husserl Archives at the University of Cologne, Germany, offering a discussion on the themes of attention and reflection; and an interview with Prof. Renaud Barbaras, from the University of Paris 1 Panthéon-Sorbonne, conducted by the Phenomenology Laboratory at the Fluminense Federal University, coordinated by Prof. Carlos Tourinho.

¹ Gusdorf, G. (2006). Conhecimento Interdisciplinar. In: O. Pombo; H. M. Guimarães & T. Levy. *Interdisciplinaridade: Antologia* (p. 37-58). Porto, Portugal: Campo das Letras.

² Ich bin Philosoph meiner Zeit, meiner Gegenwart, in dem Sinn, daß ich auf dem Boden der allgemein geltenden Wissenschaft dieser Zeit – in die ich durch Erziehung, durch Lehre hineingewachsen bin – als der wissenschaftlichen *communis opinio*, in der Motivation dieser wissenschaftlichen Zeitsituation, zweifellos für jeden ebenso Erziehenden, für uns alle in Vergemeinschaftung lehre und darin arbeite.



Following this, we have two bilingual contributions: the first by Prof. Lucia Marques Stenzel from the Federal University of Health Sciences of Porto Alegre, Brazil, discussing the relations between phenomenology and psychopathology based on processes; and a discussion on language and semantic awareness by Prof. Luciane Patrícia Yano from the Federal University of Acre, Brazil. Two contributions from psychology complete the list of articles: Ana Paula Ramos Carnahiba and Rosa Angela Cortez de Brito (both from the Federal University of Mato Grosso, Cuiabá, Brazil) discuss play therapy in the contemporary Brazilian scenario; and Felipe Gonzales Del Bosco (from the Federal University of Rio de Janeiro) reflects on human relationships in Brazil, from a person-centered perspective.

The issue also features a review of the book *Toward an Anthropology of Screens: Showing and Hiding, Exposing and Protecting* by Mauro Carbone & Graziano Lingua, published in 2023, reviewed by Danilo Saretta Verissimo (São Paulo State University – Assis Campus). Finally, we present Chapter 3 – Understanding Narratives, from Larry Davidson's book (*Living Beyond Mental Disorder: Qualitative Studies of Recovery in Schizophrenia*), translated by Elizabeth Brown Vallim Brisola.

Thus, with a series of special materials produced by researchers from around the world, we invite our readers to critically engage with the manuscripts published here.

Yuri Ferrete
Adriano Furtado Holanda
(Editores)

Número finalizado em 14 de janeiro de 2025